


What Is Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation? (Part 1)

by [Christopher Fisher, PhD](#) on September 9, 2009 in [Electrotherapy](#), [Highly Accessed](#)



Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation (CES), also known as Cranial Electrical Stimulation and Cranial Electrostimulation, falls under the branch of alternative medicine called Electromedicine, which treats physical and psychological conditions with varying levels of electrical current (Kirsch, 2006). CES is the application of a very low level alternating electrical current generated from a 9-volt battery to the brain via clips placed on the ears. In this review, I focus on a particular brand of CES: Alpha-Stim. I do not intend to advocate one brand over another; however, I select Alpha-Stim because I have been trained and conducted research at the doctoral level with this device, as well as received continuing education training specific to this brand. Additionally, Alpha-Stim has a great deal of published research available for discussion and analysis. Alpha-Stim brand CES from Electromedical Products International, Inc. is a medical device registered with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of depression, anxiety, and insomnia that uses a propriety and patented wave form. Thus, a licensed healthcare provider must a prescription and oversee treatment. A typical treatment involves 100 microamperes to 4 miliamperes of electricity administered for 20 to 60 minutes daily or every other day (Kirsch, 2006b). At my training facility, I often start with a daily treatment using a very low level current for 60 minutes that is increased slowly for the first week or two to determine tolerance and then switched to every other day treatments for 20 minutes thereafter. Patients may also undergo CES treatment on an “as needed basis” during periods of unusual duress or symptom flare-up. Of course, clinicians should evaluate their patient to determine the specific protocol relevant to the patient’s needs. People who use Alpha-Stim report a variety of positive feelings after therapy that includes a sense of calm and deep relaxation, decreased feelings of anxiety or sadness, or feeling mentally alert yet physically calm. Some people report alternating periods of feeling lighter or heavier during treatment (Kirsch, 2002b).

Previous research shows Alpha-Stim’s adverse effects to be mild and time-limited (Thaxton & Patel, 2007). These include dizziness (.15%), skin irritation/electrode burns (.12%), and headaches (.22%) – notice these are all less than 1%. Dizziness or nausea may occur in situations when a higher than necessary current is utilized, and hyperexcited states, increased anxiety, and sleep disturbances may occur as paradoxical reactions (Electromedical Products International, Inc., 1998). Participants with PTSD may experience vivid dreams that may be perceived as disturbing (Kirsch, 2007e). Studies have shown that Alpha-Stim has been used safely in

combination with a wide variety of psychotropic medications (Childs & Price, 2007) and with closed head injury patients receiving anti-seizure medication (Smith, Tiber, & Marshal, 1994).

An interesting phenomena is that the word “electrical,” as in Cranial Electrical Therapy, seems to conjure up frightening images that sometimes lead to a mistaken recollection of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) – an intense and controversial electricity-based therapy used to treat severe depression. CES and ECT share little in common other than the use of electricity to treat various psychological conditions. Notice too that CES generates its energy from one 9-volt battery so the risks associated with CES are quite different from ECT.

In the soon-to-be released [part 2](#) of this series, I review Alpha Stim’s proposed mechanisms of action, as well as current research on Alpha-Stim’s effectiveness to treat depression, anxiety, and insomnia. I will also provide evidence-based information on CES for the treatment of chronic pain since this is a common off-label use.

Editorial Note:

Part 2 of this series is [here](#).

References:

Bystritsky, A., Kerwin, L., & Feusner, J. (2008). A pilot study of cranial electrotherapy stimulation for generalized anxiety disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 69(3), 412-417.

Childs, A., Price, L., (2007). Cranial electrotherapy reduces aggression in violent neuropsychiatric patients. *Primary Psychiatry*, 14(3), 50-56.

Demos, J. (2005). [Getting Started with Neurofeedback](#). New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Electromedical Products International, Inc. (1998). Alpha-Stim SCS owner’s manual. Mineral Wells: EPI.

Gilula, M., & Kirsch, D., (2005). Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation Review: A Safer Alternative to Psychopharmaceuticals in the Treatment of Depression. *Journal of Neurotherapy*, 9(2), 7-26.

Kennerly, R. (2006). Changes in quantitative EEG and low resolution tomography following cranial electrotherapy stimulation. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas.

Kirsch, D. (2002a). Electromedicine: The other side of physiology. In R. S. Weiner (Ed.) [Weiner’s Pain Management: A Practical Guide for Clinicians, Seventh Edition](#) (pp. 749-758). New York: CRC Press.

Kirsch, D. (2002b)2. Electromedicine: The other side of physiology. In R. S. Weiner (Ed.) [Weiner’s Pain Management: A Practical Guide for Clinicians, Seventh Edition](#) (pp. 759-776). New York: CRC Press.

Kirsch, D. (2006). Why electromedicine? *Practical Pain Management*, 7(6), 52-54.

Kirsch, D., & Gilula, M., (2007a) . CES in the treatment of anxiety disorders – part 2. *Practical Pain Management*, 7(3), 22-39.

Kirsch, D., & Gilula, M., (2007b). CES in the treatment of anxiety disorders – part 1. *Practical Pain Management*, 7(2), 22-39.

Kirsch, D., & Gilula, M., (2007c). CES in the treatment of depression, part 1. *Practical Pain Management*, 7(4), 33-41.

Kirsch, D., & Gilula, M., (2007d). CES in the treatment of depression, part 2. *Practical Pain Management*, 7(4), 32-40.

Kirsch, D., & Gilula, M., (2007e). CES in the treatment of insomnia: A review and meta-analysis. *Practical Pain Management*, 7(8), 28-39.

Kirsch, D., & Smith, R. (2004). Cranial electrotherapy stimulation for anxiety, depression, insomnia, cognitive dysfunction, and pain: A review and meta-analyses. In P. J. Rosch & M. S. Markov (Eds.) [Bioelectromagnetic Medicine](#) (pp. 2-27). New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Matteson, M., & Ivancevich, J. (1986). An exploratory investigation of CES as an employee stress management technique. *Journal of Health and Human Resource Administration*, 9, 93-109.

Moore, J., Mellor, C., Standage, K., & Strong, H. (1975). A double-blind study of electrosleep for anxiety and insomnia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 10(1), 59-63.

Rosenthal, S. (1972). A double-blind clinical study. *Biological Psychiatry*, 49(2), 179-185.

Rosenthal, S., & Wulfsohn, N. (1970). Electrosleep: A preliminary communication. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 151, 146-151.

Smith, R., & O'Neil, L. (1975). Electrosleep in the management of alcoholism. *Biological Psychiatry*, 10, 675-680.


Smith, R., Tiber, A., & Marshall, J. (1994). The use of cranial electrotherapy stimulation in the treatment of closed-head-injured patients. *Brain Injury*, 8(4), 357-361.

Thaxton, L., & Patel, A. (2007) Sleep disturbances: Epidemiology, assessment, and treatment. In: N. Zasler, D. Katz, & R. Zafonte (Eds.) [Brain Injury Medicine: Principles and Practice](#) (pp. 557-575). New York: Demos Publishing.

Thompson, M., & Thompson, L. (2003). [The Neurofeedback Book](#). Warwick: Association For Applied Psychophysiology And Biofeedback.

What is Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation? (Part 2)

by [Christopher Fisher, PhD](#) on September 30, 2009 in [Electrotherapy](#), [Featured](#)



In [Part 1](#) of this series, a basic introduction to Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation (CES), also known as Cranial Electrical Stimulation and Cranial Electrostimulation, was given that included a technical overview, typical treatment protocols, and common side effects. Next, Part 2 details CES' proposed mechanism of action and treatment effectiveness with depression, anxiety, insomnia, and chronic pain, as well as a few closing thoughts. Readers can expect many more future posts on CES and its treatment of various ailments. I anticipate that the first will cover a well-designed CES for mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) study.

CES Mechanism of Action

Although the exact mechanism of action for CES, including Alpha-Stim, are not known, Alpha-Stim's pulsed electrical currents are believed to affect the limbic system, the reticular activating system, and/or the hypothalamus (Gilula & Kirsch, 2005) and to stimulate regions that control pain messages, neurotransmitter creation, and hormone production via the hypothalamic-pituitary axis (Kirsch & Smith, 2004).

Alexander Bystritsky (2008) provided an excellent technical overview of the current state of knowledge in regard to CES' mechanism of action. Readers are encouraged to read his paper. Dr. Bystritsky states that,

"Some of the signals from these afferent nerves eventually reach the ventral posteromedial nucleus of the thalamus. Animal studies indicate that 42% to 46% of CES current enters the brain, with the highest levels of current recorded in the thalamus. The thalamus is a region that seems to be important in the pathophysiology of anxiety. Evidence of this comes from positron emission tomography (PET) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies in GAD patients, which show changes in thalamic activity (as well as in other regions) with medication treatments. A single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) study in other anxiety disorders including obsessive-compulsive disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and social anxiety disorder also found decreases in thalamic activity with treatment with the medication citalopram. Therefore, CES could hypothetically exert anxiolytic effects by affecting the thalamus and/or its afferent pathways. Future neuroimaging studies examining the brain regions and circuits associated with CES treatment will be needed to understand its mechanism of action" (pgs. 415-416).

Dr. Bystritsky went on to hypothesize that CES may also reset the brain to prestress homeostasis levels – this has important treatment implications for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and substance dependence, which may explain the current research interest in these areas.

CES And QEEG

Kennerly (2006) found that persons treated with CES for 20 minutes exhibited significant changes in the EEG, including increased alpha (8-12Hz) relative power and decreased relative power in the delta (0–3.5Hz) and beta (12.5-30Hz) frequencies. Increased alpha typically correlates with improved relaxation and possibly increased mental alertness or clarity (Thompson & Thompson, 2003), while decreased delta suggests reduced drowsiness. Beta reductions were exhibited mostly between 20-30Hz and this frequency band correlates with reductions in anxiety, ruminative thought, and obsessive/compulsive-like behaviors (Demos, 2005). Kennerly further reported that quantitative electroencephalography (qEEG) and low resolution electromagnetic tomography (LORETA) analyses showed that the electrical pulses generated by the Alpha-Stim reached all cortical and subcortical areas of the brain.

CES Treatment Effectiveness

Based on qualitative criteria recommended by Kirsch & Gilula (2007a), large effect sizes (i.e., $>.50$) are well established across a number of controlled and uncontrolled studies in the Alpha-Stim treatment of a wide variety of psychological and physical disorders (Kirsch & Smith, 2004; Kirsch & Gilula, 2007a; Kirsch & Gilula, 2007b; Kirsch & Gilula, 2007c; Kirsch & Gilula, 2007d; Matteson & Ivancevich, 1986; Moore, 1975; Rosenthal & Wulfsohn, 1970; Rosenthal, 1972; Smith & O'Neill, 1975).

Depression and Anxiety

A meta-analysis of CES for depression that included 20 studies and 975 subjects revealed a mean effect size rating of .50 (Kirsch & Gilula, 2007c; Kirsch & Gilula, 2007d). A meta-analysis of CES for anxiety which included 41 studies comprising 2049 participants found a mean effect size ratings of .57. This large effect size decreased to .53 using only double-blinded studies in the analysis (Kirsch & Gilula, 2007a; Kirsch & Gilula, 2007b). A recent uncontrolled pilot study into CES for chronic and pervasive anxiety (i.e., generalized anxiety disorder) found a 50% average reduction in self-reported anxiety by a majority of treatment completers (Bystritsky, Kerwin, & Feusner, 2008).

Insomnia

A meta-analysis of 20 studies involving 1083 participants that received CES for sleep difficulties (mostly insomnia) was recently completed. CES for insomnia achieved a mean effect size rating of .64 (Kirsch & Gilula, 2007e).

Chronic Pain

There are no known meta-analyses currently published for CES for chronic pain (i.e., headache). Soloman et al. (1989) conducted a randomized, double blind study with 100 men and women receiving outpatient care for chronic tension headache. All participants had received analgesic therapy for at least 1 year and had a minimum of 4 headaches a month for inclusion into the study. The researchers reported a statistically significant

35% reduction in pain severity scores. The percent of participants that reported statistically significant subjective headache improvement following CES treatment compared to the control group were: 12% “highly effective,” 24% “moderately effective,” 26% “minimally effective,” and 38% “not effective.”

Summary

CES for the treatment of anxiety, depression, and insomnia achieved impressive effect sizes in the studies reviewed. CES for chronic pain produced acceptable results given that participants had chronic headaches (1 year or longer) that were presumably treatment non-responsive to frontline medications.

In my experiences, CES and neurofeedback can be complementary treatments for some patients. Patients often seek treatment in a crisis and need immediate relief. Neurofeedback is not known for its immediate results and usually takes extended sessions to realize significant gains. The addition of CES provides immediate symptom relief (often the very first session), which allows neurotherapy to continue with significantly less patient stress and possibly improved outcomes (based on personal experience – not research). Kennerly’s QEEG study described above provides guidance as to who might respond to CES treatment, alone or combined with neurotherapy.

On a final note, I personally believe that Alpha-Stim, a prominent brand of CES, remains one of the most underused, cost-effective treatments on market. Alpha-Stim is a safe and effective treatment for anxiety, depression, and insomnia with mild and time-limited side effects that can be used in conjunction with most psychotropic medications (Childs & Price, 2007). I am quite frankly perplexed as to why CES is not prescribed more often, especially for persons who do not respond to front-line treatments.

Enjoy.

[CFisher](#)

References

Please see [Part 1](#) of this series for a complete list of references.



About Christopher Fisher, PhD

Dr. Christopher Fisher, Managing Editor for The Behavioral Medicine Report, received his PhD in Clinical Health Psychology & Behavioral Medicine from University of North Texas. His clinical training emphasized biopsychosocial approaches to health and wellness, including Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), neurofeedback, biofeedback, cranial electrical stimulation (CES), and QEEG. He is Board Certified in Neurofeedback (BCN) by BCIA. Dr. Fisher also received a master’s degree in Clinical Psychology from Texas A&M - Corpus Christi. Dr. Fisher maintains a private practice in Corpus Christi, Texas, and offers individual therapy, group therapy, peripheral biofeedback, and neurofeedback. You can learn more at <http://www.christopherfisherphd.com>. He also maintains an informational website for panic attacks (panic disorder) here: <http://www.panicintervention.com> Dr. Fisher enjoys spending time with family, watching sports and movies, and outdoor activities.

[View all posts by Christopher Fisher, PhD](#) →